

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,395	07	7/23/2003	Lurce Tobin		9818 EXAMINER	
7	590	05/07/2004		EXAMI		
Matthew J. Po	eirce, Es	q.	FASTOVSKY, LEONID M			
Suite#1005 330 S. 3rd Stre	at			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Las Vegas, N		3742				

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			IΛΛ					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/626,395	TOBIN, LUREE						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
·	Leonid M Fastovsky	3742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply	21.V.IQ 057.TQ 5V5155							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thio do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	cation.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	July 2003.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 July 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bureats. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A fority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/23/03.	6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne, Jr. (5,891,189) in view of Kuo et al (5,148,002)

Payne teaches a heating band device 10 comprising an elastic band 26 having inner and outer surfaces, a battery 60 incorporated within the battery housing (col. 4, lines 44-47), and a heating coil 30 electronically connected to the battery. However, Payne does not teach a plurality of heating elements, a patch, an item of clothing, and is silent regarding means for opening and closing a battery compartment. Kuo discloses a garment 20 and a plurality of heating elements 20 and 22. Kuo also discloses a patch (module) 50 carried on a belt 52 and connected to the battery (Fig. 1A) and means for opening and closing a battery compartment (Fig. 8A). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Payne's invention to include a patch (module) and means for opening and closing a battery compartment and a plurality of heating elements in order to provide heat to the garment as taught by Kuo (col. 5, lines 11-16).

Art Unit: 3742

- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne in view of Kuo and further in view of Cole, III et al (5,973,603) and Jones, III (5,866,881). Payne in view of Kuo teaches substantially the claimed invention including a power light 178 located on the patch 50. However, Payne in view of Kuo does not teach a button to turn power on and of and on to one of three different levels, an audio element and a speaker. Jones discloses a button 60 with a dial 78 to turn power on and off and to one of three different levels, and Cole discloses an electronic speaker or electronic warning device located within the circuit 52 of a vest 50. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Payne and Kuo to include a button in order to turn on and off and to one of three different levels to adjust the potentiometer as taught by Jones III (col. 6, lines 19-26), and to include an electronic warning device in order to monitor the temperature of the garment as taught by Cole III (col. 8, lines 31-48).
- 4. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne in view of Kuo, Cole and Jones and further in view of Bloodworth (6,329,638). Payne in view of Kuo, Cole and Jones teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a second battery compartment and a brassiere. Bloodworth discloses a heating vest system having two battery compartments (Fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Payne, Kuo, Cole and Jones to include a second battery pack in order to provide power for heat and

Art Unit: 3742

vibration as taught by Bloodworth (col. 3, lines 44-66), and to incorporate the band in brassiere since a brassiere could be a part of the vest.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne in view of Kuo, Cole, Jones, Bloodworth and further in view of Sato (4,533,821).

Payne in view of Kuo, Cole, Jones and Bloodworth discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose a band incorporated in a pair of shorts. Sato discloses a heating element 14 incorporated into pants 172. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Payne, Kuo, Cole, Jones and Blooworth to include pants (shorts) in order to warm a lower portion of the body as taught by Sato (col. 4, lines 40-49).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 703-306-5482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Pothier can be reached on 703-308-0265. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf